CPBO CHALLENGE® REPORT 2013: The Growth of In-House Pro Bono



Corporate Pro Bono

Founded in 2000, Corporate Pro Bono (CPBO) is the global partnership project of the Pro Bono Institute (PBI) and the Association of Corporate Counsel (ACC).

MISSION

CPBO's mission is to explore and identify new approaches to and resources for the provision of legal services to the poor, disadvantaged, and other individuals or groups unable to secure legal assistance to address critical problems. CPBO does so by supporting, enhancing, and transforming the pro bono efforts of in-house legal departments in the U.S. and around the world. Since its inception, CPBO has worked with hundreds of legal departments and ACC Chapters.

The Corporate Pro Bono Challenge® Initiative

In 2006, at the urging of chief legal officers, CPBO launched an initiative enabling legal departments to identify, benchmark, and communicate their support for pro bono service. The Corporate Pro Bono Challenge[®] initiative is the standard for in-house pro bono. It is a simple, voluntary statement of commitment to pro bono service by legal departments and their lawyers and staff.

Specifically, the CPBO Challenge[®] statement calls for chief legal officers to:

- » encourage and promote pro bono service by their legal department staff;
- » use their best efforts to encourage their staff, including at least one-half of their legal staff, to support and participate in pro bono service; and
- » encourage the outside law firms with whom they work to acknowledge publicly their support for pro bono by becoming signatories to the Pro Bono Institute's Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge[®].

CONTENTS

- 1 Advisory Board
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Legal Staff Participation, 2012 and 2013
- 5 Global Pro Bono, 2012 and 2013
- 5 Participation and Formal Pro Bono, 2012 and 2013
- 6 Legal Staff Participation, 2013
- 7 Global Pro Bono, 2013
- 8 Demographics, 2013
- 9 Partnerships with Law Firms, 2013
- 10 Conclusion
- 10 Looking Ahead: In-House Pro Bono
- 11 About the CPBO Challenge® Initiative
- 12 Methodology
- 12 Reporting Companies

Appendices

- A Corporate Pro Bono Challenge® Form
- B 2013 CPBO Challenge® Survey



SNAPSHOT OF SIGNATORIES

Advisory Board

Co-chairs

Bradford L. Smith General Counsel and Executive Vice President, Legal and Corporate Affairs Microsoft Corporation

Members

Brackett B. Denniston III Senior Vice President, Secretary, and General Counsel General Electric Company

Ona Alston Dosunmu General Counsel The Brookings Institution

Ivan K. Fong Senior Vice President, Legal Affairs and General Counsel 3M Company

Elisa Garcia Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer Office Depot, Inc.

Brett J. Hart Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary United Continental Holdings, Inc.

James R. Jenkins Retired, Senior Vice President and General Counsel Deere & Company

Bruce N. Kuhlik Executive Vice President and General Counsel Merck & Co., Inc. Laura Stein Senior Vice President – General Counsel The Clorox Company

Jonathan Oviatt Chief Legal Officer and Secretary Mayo Clinic

Thomas J. Sabatino Jr. Executive Vice President and Chief Legal & Administrative Officer Walgreen Co.

Gloria Santona Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary McDonald's Corporation

John Schultz Executive Vice President and General Counsel Hewlett-Packard Company

Jane C. Sherburne

Kenneth Thompson II Senior Vice President, Global Chief Legal Officer LexisNexis Group

Brian Zuckerman Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary The Pep Boys-Manny, Moe & Jack

INTRODUCTION

In the spring of this year, Corporate Pro Bono issued its inaugural **Corporate Pro Bono Challenge**[®] Report, which provided the first statistical picture of the progress of in-house pro bono participation. The 2007-2012 CPBO Challenge[®] Report: the Development of In-House Pro Bono was groundbreaking, sharing for the first time measurable data on in-house pro bono throughout the U.S. and around the globe. The report examined the pro bono performance of in-house legal departments that were **CPBO Challenge[®]** signatories in calendar years 2007 – 2012 and that responded to an annual survey circulated by CPBO. However, the report did not compare data from year to year; it only provided a summary of the pro bono performance of the various signatories that responded each year. You may access the 2007-2012 report at <u>www.cpbo.org/challengereport2012</u>.

The 2013 CPBO Challenge[®] Report: the Growth of In-House Pro Bono goes one step further. It examines the growth in pro bono of in-house legal departments by comparing the pro bono performance of the 40 CPBO Challenge[®] signatories that provided responses to an annual survey in 2012 and 2013. It is important to note that this is the first time the growth of in-house pro bono across years has been measured. In addition, the report summarizes pro bono performance by all 52 departments that responded to the CPBO Challenge[®] survey in 2013.

The achievements of CPBO Challenge[®] signatories highlighted in this report are significant. In particular, the increasing participation in pro bono among respondents to the 2012 and 2013 surveys at a time when legal departments are stretched thinner than ever underscores the seriousness with which departments take their commitment to using their legal skills to improve access to justice. It also highlights the expansion of pro bono within the culture of legal departments and the growth in interest and opportunities for in-house counsel to provide pro bono services.

Currently, there are 133 signatories to the Corporate Pro Bono Challenge® statement, headquartered in cities around the U.S. and Canada and with offices and legal staff around the globe.



Legal Staff Participation, 2012 and 2013

When signing the Corporate Pro Bono Challenge[®] statement, legal departments commit to encourage 50 percent of their legal staff to engage in legal pro bono work. This is an aspirational goal that departments seek to achieve.

Lawyer Participation

From 2012 to 2013, participation in pro bono by signatories that responded to the CPBO Challenge[®] survey in both years increased. While 50 percent of responding signatories met or exceeded the goal of 50 percent participation in pro bono by lawyers in the U.S., 57 percent met or exceeded the goal in 2013.



Participation rates for responding signatories also increased. In 2013, the average participation rate of U.S. lawyers was 50 percent. In 2012, the average participation rate of U.S. lawyers was 48 percent.



Throughout this publication, "n" is used to denote the total respondents in the relevant sample.

Corporate Pro Bono

Legal Staff Participation, 2012 and 2013

Non-Lawyer Participation

Involvement by other staff within the legal department continues to be an important element of in-house pro bono. The CPBO Challenge[®] survey measures both lawyer participation and non-lawyer participation. Of those departments that responded in 2012 and 2013, 43 percent met or exceeded the CPBO Challenge[®] participation goal by non-lawyers in 2013 while 37 percent met or exceeded the goal in 2012.



Similar to lawyer participation in the U.S., the average participation rate by non-lawyers in the U.S. increased, however, at a much lower rate. In 2012, the average participation rate by non-lawyers was 34 percent. In 2013, it increased slightly to 35 percent.



Global Pro Bono, 2012 and 2013

Most signatory departments apply the CPBO Challenge[®] goals to pro bono participation by staff within the U.S. However, some departments have expanded their efforts to include staff in other countries. CPBO began tracking global pro bono participation in 2010. In 2012, 20 percent of non-U.S. lawyers at responding legal departments participated in pro bono and in 2013, 15 percent of non-U.S. lawyers participated in pro bono.

While, on average, pro bono participation by non-U.S. lawyers decreased from 2012 to 2013, for the majority of responding signatories participation by lawyers outside of the U.S. increased. Of the 14 signatories that responded in 2012 and 2013, five reported an increase in pro bono by lawyers outside of the U.S. This increase ranged from one percent to 25 percent. Three signatories reported a decrease in pro bono participation by non-U.S. lawyers with one signatory reporting a significant decrease-greater than 50 percent. In 2013, signatories reported the highest concentration of participation in the U.S., the United Kingdom, France, China, and South Africa.



Participation and Formal Pro Bono, 2012 and 2013

Participation levels often vary depending on the age of the signatory's pro bono program, and in 2012 and 2013, CPBO Challenge[®] signatories with more mature formal programs reported higher pro bono participation rates by lawyers in the U.S. The range of participation rates varied greatly for respondents in 2012 and 2013. However, for each segment, the average participation rate for lawyers in the U.S. of responding signatories increased from 2012 to 2013.





LEGAL STAFF PARTICIPATION, 2013

U.S. Lawyer Participation



U.S. Non-Lawyer Participation





Global Pro Bono, 2013







Demographics, 2013

Participation across Industry

U.S. lawyers, average among respondents, 2013



Department Size

U.S. lawyers, % of all respondents, 2013





Partnerships with Law Firms, 2013



The Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge®

The Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge[®] is the definitive aspirational pro bono standard for large law firms. By committing to a Statement of Principles, signatories pledge their best efforts to achieve the following goals of the challenge and annually report their progress to PBI:

an institutional commitment to encourage and support participation by all attorneys in the firm;

the firm annually contributes to pro bono work (as defined by the Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge®) a minimum of either (i) five percent of total billable hours or 100 hours per attorney or (ii) three percent of total billable hours or 60 hours per attorney;

a majority of such pro bono work is spent representing persons of limited means or charitable, religious, civic, community, governmental, and educational organizations in matters that primarily address the needs of persons of limited means; and

a majority of both partners and associates in the firm participate annually in pro bono activities.

Law Firm Pro Bono Performance consider when evaluating outside counsel, 2013



Law Firm Pro Bono Performance

inquire about law firm pro bono in RFPs, 2013



Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge®

encouraged outside law firms, 2013



Conclusion

Since the inception of the CPBO Challenge[®] initiative, the number of signatories and in-house pro bono participation has grown steadily.

Examining the responses of the 40 signatories who responded to both the 2012 and 2013 surveys, the news is excellent: even at a time when legal departments are stretched thinner than ever, pro bono participation is increasing. The reasons for this growth include the departments' commitment to utilize the unique skills of their lawyers and non-lawyers to increase access to legal assistance in their communities, the spread of pro bono within the culture of legal departments, and the expansion in interest and opportunities for in-house counsel to provide pro bono services.

Looking Ahead: In-House Pro Bono

Increased Participation

As pro bono continues to permeate the in-house community, we expect to see an increase in the number of legal departments formalizing their pro bono efforts and in the participation rate of legal staff at departments. The range of participation rates among signatories will continue to vary greatly, with the overall trend among those departments with more mature programs and a strong commitment to the CPBO Challenge[®] initiative continuing upward.

Global Pro Bono

Interest in global pro bono is sure to expand in the coming years, but participation abroad may fluctuate more so than in the U.S. due to the challenges that continue to exist in many countries. While multi-national companies with offices in countries all over the globe are well-suited to pursue global pro bono projects, much remains to be done to create the infrastructure necessary for such work. However, signatories will continue to expand their reach and will explore pro bono opportunities in more and more countries.

Collaboration and Partnerships

We anticipate increased collaboration among legal departments and between legal departments and pro bono partners, including outside law firms. Outside law firms can support the pro bono efforts of their in-house partners as many of the leading large law firms have well-organized and thriving pro bono programs. In addition, signatories will continue to consider pro bono a factor in evaluating outside firms.

About the CPBO Challenge® Initiative

Companies are placing increased emphasis on corporate social responsibility as an integral part of their identity and strategic planning. For their legal departments, moving beyond compliance with operational, ethical, and legal standards to focus on improving the economic and social climate of communities and a broad range of stakeholders is not only the right thing to do but also promotes trust and enhances the companies' business goals.

Many legal departments have found that having a concrete quantifiable but voluntary goal has helped to increase visibility, participation, and performance while assuring legal staff that they will not be disadvantaged because of their pro bono participation. The CPBO Challenge[®] goals are thus an important tool for corporate social responsibility and good citizenship.

The CPBO Challenge® Statement

As Chief Legal Officers of major corporations, we recognize the critical importance of pro bono service as a cornerstone of our professional identity and accept the unique role that we can play in promoting pro bono in our legal departments. As corporate leaders, we understand that the legal and business interests of our clients are furthered when access to the legal system is readily available to resolve disputes.

In recognition of our commitment and as signatories to this Corporate Pro Bono Challenge[®] statement, we will encourage and promote pro bono service by our legal department staff and use our best efforts to encourage our staffs, including at least one-half of our legal staff, to support and participate, as appropriate, in pro bono service.

To underscore the importance of promoting pro bono service, we will encourage the outside law firms with whom we work to acknowledge publicly their support for pro bono by becoming signatories to the Pro Bono Institute's Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge[®].

Methodology

All data included in this report is presented in the aggregate to maintain the confidentiality of the Corporate Pro Bono Challenge® survey respondents.

The primary objective of the survey was to determine if CPBO Challenge[®] signatories met the goal of 50 percent participation in pro bono. In addition, survey questions solicited information about pro bono policies and practices, including partnerships with outside law firms. The 2013 survey consists of twelve questions. A copy of the survey is included in the appendix at the end of this report.

CPBO began surveying CPBO Challenge[®] signatories in 2007. Each year, the survey response rate has varied with a different combination of departments responding. In 2012, 52 of 115 signatories submitted responses. In 2013, 52 of 131 signatories submitted responses. Forty companies responded both in 2012 and 2013. Any comparison data presented in this report considers the responses of these 40 companies.

CPBO hopes that in publishing this data, CPBO Challenge[®] signatories will continue to see the value in reporting their pro bono work and that, in the future, increased reporting will allow CPBO to publish even more extensive analysis of the data.

Reporting Companies

2012 and 2013

3M Company American International Group, Inc. AOL Inc Bank of America Corporation Best Buy Co., Inc. Caterpillar Inc. **CIGNA** Corporation The Clorox Company Counsel on Call Deere & Company Deloitte LLP **Discover Financial Services** DuPont Duquesne Light Company Exelon Corporation Ford Motor Company The Gap, Inc. General Electric Company Hewlett-Packard Company Intel Corporation Marathon Oil Corporation Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company Mayo Clinic McDonald's Corporation Medtronic, Inc. Merck & Co., Inc.

Microsoft Corporation Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company The Pep Boys - Manny, Moe & Jack Pfizer Inc. Shell Oil Company Target Corporation U.S. Bancorp United Continental Holdings, Inc. United Parcel Service, Inc. UnitedHealth Group Incorporated Verizon Communications Inc. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. WPX Energy, Inc.

2013

3M Company Aetna Inc. American International Group, Inc. AOL Inc. AT&T Inc. Bank of America Corporation Best Buy Co., Inc. Capital One Financial Corporation Caterpillar Inc. CIGNA Corporation The Clorox Company Counsel on Call Deere & Company Deloitte LLP **Discover Financial Services** DuPont Duquesne Light Company Energy Future Holdings Corporation Exelon Corporation Ford Motor Company The Gap, Inc. General Electric Company General Mills, Inc. Hewlett-Packard Company Hyatt Hotels Corporation

Marathon Oil Corporation Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company Mayo Clinic McDonald's Corporation Medtronic, Inc. Merck & Co., Inc. MetLife, Inc. Microsoft Corporation MVG Development, Inc. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company Office Depot, Inc. The Pep Boys - Manny, Moe & Jack Pfizer Inc. Shell Oil Company Starbucks Corporation Symantec Corporation **Target Corporation** TE Connectivity, Ltd. U.S. Bancorp United Continental Holdings, Inc. United Parcel Service, Inc. UnitedHealth Group Incorporated Verizon Communications Inc. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Walgreen Co. WPX Energy, Inc.

Join the Challenge Form

As Chief Legal Officers, we recognize the critical importance of pro bono service as a cornerstone of our professional identity and accept the unique role that we can play in promoting pro bono in our legal departments. As leaders, we understand that the legal and business interests of our clients are furthered when access to the legal system is readily available to resolve disputes.

In recognition of our commitment and as signatories to this Corporate Pro Bono Challenge[®] statement, we will encourage and promote pro bono service by our legal department staff and use our best efforts to encourage our staffs, including at least one-half of our legal staff, to support and participate, as appropriate, in pro bono service.

To underscore the importance of promoting pro bono service, we will encourage the outside law firms with whom we work to acknowledge publicly their support for pro bono by becoming signatories to the Pro Bono Institute's Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge[®].

On behalf of my legal department,	I hereby sign the Corpor	ate Pro Bono (CPBO) Challenge® statement:
Signature (Chief Legal Officer):		Date:
Name and Title:		
Company:		
Street Address:		
City:	_ State:	Zip:
Phone:		
Email:		
Contact Person Name and Title:		
Street Address:		
City:	_ State:	Zip:
Phone:		
Email:		

Please send completed forms to CPBO at erunyon@probonoinst.org. For more information about the CPBO Challenge[®] initiative, please contact Eve Runyon, CPBO director, at 202.729.6694 or at cpbo@probonoinst.org. Thank you for your interest and support.

2013 CPBO CHALLENGE[®] SURVEY, January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

Welcome to the Corporate Pro Bono Challenge[®] 2013 Status Update. Your answers to this survey will be treated in the strictest confidence, and any information published will be only in the aggregate.

Background Information

1. Company Information	
Company Name	
Address (Headquarters)	
Address 2	
City	
State/Province	
Zip Code	
Pro Bono Contact Name	
Pro Bono Contact Title	
Pro Bono Contact Email	
Pro Bono Contact Phone	
2. Person Completing this Survey	(if different than pro bono contact)
2. Person Completing this Survey (Name	(if different than pro bono contact)
	(if different than pro bono contact)
Name	(if different than pro bono contact)
Name Title	(if different than pro bono contact)
Name Title Email	(if different than pro bono contact)
Name Title Email Phone	(if different than pro bono contact)
Name Title Email Phone 3. Company Information	(if different than pro bono contact)
Name Title Email Phone 3. Company Information Annual Revenue	
Name Title Email Phone 3. Company Information Annual Revenue Number of Employees	

Participation in Pro Bono

1. Please record the number of legal professionals in the U.S. as of 12/31/13 and how many of those provided pro bono legal services (not including community service) during the reporting period.

	Total in Legal Department	Particpating in Pro Bono	Percentage
U.S. Lawyers			
U.S. Non-Lawyers			

2. Please record the number of legal professionals in the U.S. as of 12/31/13 and how many of those provided pro bono legal services (not including community service) during the reporting period.

	Total in Legal Department	Participating in Pro Bono	Percentage
Non-U.S. Lawyers			
Non-U.S. Non-Lawyers			

Active Pro Bono Policies and Programs

1. Does your legal department have a written pro bono policy?

2. Does your legal department have a formal pro bono program?

Pro Bono Partnerships

1. Does your legal department partner with outside law firms to provide legal pro bono work?

If yes, with which firms does your legal department partner?

2. Does your legal department inquire as to whether its outside firms perform legal pro bono work in RFPs, beauty contests, and/or retention processes?

3. Does your legal department consider a firm's pro bono performance when evaluating outside counsel?

4. Does your legal department encourage its outside firms to join the Pro Bono Institute's Law Firm Pro Bono Challenge®?



www.cpbo.org 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 205 | Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 729-6699 | cpbo@probonoinst.org

Developed by Corporate Pro Bono A global partnership project of the Pro Bono Institute and the Association of Corporate Counsel www.cpbo.org © 2014 Pro Bono Institute **CPBO**[®] is a registered trademark of Pro Bono Institute